UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/548,401	09/26/2005	Hiroshi Morisaki	05126	7990
	7590 01/11/201 CHULTZ & MACDOI	EXAMINER		
1727 KING STREET SUITE 105 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			NORDMEYER, PATRICIA L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/11/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/548,401	MORISAKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

zororo uno i ming or un rippour ziror	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance w	Appeal. To avoid abar i, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	•	n the final rejection, whi	chover is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	,	36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount on thortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the North Tolerand Part 17. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims	
NOTE: Newly Amended claims 1 and 4 raise(s) ne			tion because it
now recites "wherein the release sheet is provided release sheet has a slit that is formed adjacent to to	on the entire area of the rear side of	of the tape body, and	wherein the
"wherein the additional slit is longitudinally aligned			
can be peeled while leaving a portion of the release considered. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	npliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:´ Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected to:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. $\hfill\square$ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

/Patricia L. Nordmeyer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100106